# 4 communities who benefit from better clinical outcomes



Research shows that compared to usual care, the following groups of patients benefit from better clinic outcomes when care is provided as a group consultation or shared medical appointment. This summary draws on systematic reviews and highlights the strongest evidence for group clinics

#### People living with diabetes

7 randomised controlled trials have shown improvements in HbA1c compared to usual care. 2 studies found changes persisted up to one year post group clinic



### **Babies whose mothers** get group antenatal care

Compared to usual care, babies whose mums attended group antenatal clinics had a higher birth weight; a 33% reduction in their risk of being born pre-term and spent less time in neonatal intensive care

#### Women who get group antenatal care

Compared to usual care, mums getting group antenatal care gained less weight before and lost more after birth, and felt better prepared for birth and delivery



## Older people

Compared to usual care, the incidence of urinary incontinence was lower and those with the condition were prescribed fewer medicines when care was provided in a group clinic setting

## Improved patient knowledge

Two studies found people with diabetes' knowledge score improved compared to usual care. One randomised control study found improved scores in chronic heart failure knowledge tests. Women who participated in group antenatal clinics reported better pre-natal knowledge compared to usual care





## Three studies have found group clinics improve quality

Improved quality of life

of life scores compared to usual care. One study found that patients with COPD who attended group clinics improved exercise tolerance and symptoms, which led to improved quality of life compared with usual care



## Improved patient satisfaction Six studies have reported significant improvements in

patient satisfaction with group clinics compared to usual care. In four studies this translated into patients choosing group clinics over one to one appointments for future care

References: systematic reviews of group clinics Booth A, Cantrell A, Preston L, Chambers D, Goyder E. What is the evidence for the Effectiveness, Appropriateness, and Feasibility of group clinics for patients with chronic conditions? A systematic review. Health Services and Delivery Research Journal 2015;3(46):1-372.

Burke RE, Ferrara SA, Fuller AM, Kelderhouse JM, Ferrara LR. The effectiveness of group medical visits on diabetes mellitus type 2 (dm2) specific outcomes in adults: a systematic review. JBI Library of Systematic Reviews 2011;9(23):833-35.

Deakin T, McShane CE, Cade JE, Williams RD. Group based training for self-management strategies in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2005;18(2):CD003417.

Edelman D, Gierisch JM, McDuffie JR, Oddone E, Williams JW Jr. Shared medical appointments for patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. J Gen Intern Med. 2015 Jan;30(1):99-106.

Edelman D, McDuffie JR, Oddone E, Gierisch JM, Williams Jr JW, Nagi A et al. Shared Medical Appointments for Chronic Medical Conditions: A Systematic Review. Washington (DC), Department of Veterans Affairs (US), 2012. Available at: http://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/publications/esp/shared-med-appt.pdf

Housden L, Wong ST, Dawes M. Effectiveness of group medical visits for improving diabetes care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2013;185(13):E635-E644.

Jaber R, Braksmajer A, Trilling JS. Group visits: a qualitative review of current research. The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine 2006;19(3):276-290.

Riley SB, Marshall ES. Group visits in diabetes care: A systematic review. The Diabetes Educator 2010;3(6):936-34. Steinsbekk A, Rygg LO, Lisulo M, Rise MB, Fretheim A. Group based diabetes self-management education compared to routine

Infographic created by Georgina Craig, The ELC Programme and Dr Abigail Morbi, Imperial College

treatment for people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. A systematic review with meta-analysis. BMC Health Services Research